Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	08/937,439	FUKUSHIMA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	PETER-ANTHONY PAPPAS	2628	
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>			
(1) <u>PETER-ANTHONY PAPPAS</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Paul J. Skwierawski</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 28 January 2010	Time:		
Type of Interview:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 6,7,26  Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of th interview, since t	e substance
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2628			
(A <sub>I</sub>	oplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if a	ppropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner indicated that the Supplemental Amendment filed on 1/28/10 was not sufficient to overcome all of the issue disclosed in the Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary mailed on 1/25/10. The examiner stated that in accordance with 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1) a supplemental reissue oath/declaration under 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1) must be received. The examiner stated that the amendment filed on 1/28/10 proposes amendments to claims 6, 7 and 26 that do not comply with 37 CFR 1.173(b). The applicant requested that a Final Rejection not be mailed so that the applicant could make the necessary corrections. The examiner and applicant agreed that said corrections would be filed by 2/5/10 and that if said corrections were not received by 2/5/10 that a Final Rejection would be mailed.